Internal Tracking Number	Question	Response
	WAC003 requires 1 OC-3 per the MDO, yet this is a Category 3 site and there is no pricing CLINs for Transport or Local Loop. Please clarify.	Reference Table B-4 in Amendment 16. For WAC003, the Year 10 bandwidth and local loop sizes were adjusted to fit under the CAT 3 maximums. Specifically, the total transport size in Year 10 is adjusted to 44.7 Mbps. The COS 2 transport is 8.94 Mbps, with a CLIN of X036CB. The COS 3 transport is 35.76Mbps, with a CLIN of X042CC. The enhancement CLINS are X042CD, CE, CF. There is no COS1 at this site in Year 10. The local loop size is one DS3.
597	has no bandwidth, 3) Site HRR003, row 443 has no bandwidth, and 4) Site MEM020, row 585, has no bandwidth. Please provide bandwidth requirement or delete.	All CLINs and sites must be priced. For sites with zero (0) bandwidth, Offerors shall indicate a price of \$0.00. Offerors have the flexibility to propose more than the minimum required bandwidth, and should propose prices accordingly. See response to 599.
598	FRS001 requires 2 OC-12s starting in year 7, yet there is no CLIN in Category 1 for 2 OC-12s. Please clarify.	The local loop size at FRS001 in Years 7 through 10 have been adjusted to OC48 in Amendment 16, Table B-4 to ensure consistency with Table B-2.
599	Please provide further instructions for minimum bandwidth requirements.	As per the instructions in section L, the B4 MDO is pre-populated with minimum bandwidth values and their corresponding CLINs. Offerors must bid at least the minimums at each and every site, in each and every year to be in compliance with the proposal instructions. Offeror may increase bandwidth above the minimums, but must bid at least the minimums at each and every site irrespective of whether a vendor chooses to increase the bandwidth of other sites in the MDO beyond the minimums. If a vendor increases bandwidth at a site, the Offeror should refer to Section L for guidance on how to apply proper ratios of CoS 1, CoS 2, and CoS 3 traffic.
600	Please clarify, does the Government want us to reproduce the 1,000+ tri-folds of the (unpopulated) MDO model?	Yes, vendors shall print and submit updated copies of both the priced and unpriced MDO templates.
601	reference to Amendment 14. Is it correct that any such omissions relative to	Compliance with the Amendment 16 MDO will ensure that vendors have incorporated the modifications previously identified in other amendments. Amendment 16 contains the fully updated template for the MDO.
602	(TEDS) sizing requirements.	Vendors shall follow the sizing guidance provided in Section J of the RFP. In particular, vendors shall note the following excerpt from the TEDS Engineering and Design document contained in that section: "Acquisition of sufficient additional DirX licenses to support up to 200,000 directory entries." [SRO255R1(Mar02)1 - Eng & Design Doc, Section 5.17, page 36]